

Exam Questions AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate

AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate (DEA-C01)

<https://www.2passeasy.com/dumps/AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate/>



NEW QUESTION 1

A media company uses software as a service (SaaS) applications to gather data by using third-party tools. The company needs to store the data in an Amazon S3 bucket. The company will use Amazon Redshift to perform analytics based on the data.

Which AWS service or feature will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Amazon Managed Streaming for Apache Kafka (Amazon MSK)
- B. Amazon AppFlow
- C. AWS Glue Data Catalog
- D. Amazon Kinesis

Answer: B

Explanation:

Amazon AppFlow is a fully managed integration service that enables you to securely transfer data between SaaS applications and AWS services like Amazon S3 and Amazon Redshift. Amazon AppFlow supports many SaaS applications as data sources and targets, and allows you to configure data flows with a few clicks. Amazon AppFlow also provides features such as data transformation, filtering, validation, and encryption to prepare and protect your data. Amazon AppFlow meets the requirements of the media company with the least operational overhead, as it eliminates the need to write code, manage infrastructure, or monitor data pipelines. References:

? Amazon AppFlow

? Amazon AppFlow | SaaS Integrations List

? Get started with data integration from Amazon S3 to Amazon Redshift using AWS Glue interactive sessions

NEW QUESTION 2

A data engineer maintains custom Python scripts that perform a data formatting process that many AWS Lambda functions use. When the data engineer needs to modify the Python scripts, the data engineer must manually update all the Lambda functions.

The data engineer requires a less manual way to update the Lambda functions. Which solution will meet this requirement?

- A. Store a pointer to the custom Python scripts in the execution context object in a shared Amazon S3 bucket.
- B. Package the custom Python scripts into Lambda layer
- C. Apply the Lambda layers to the Lambda functions.
- D. Store a pointer to the custom Python scripts in environment variables in a shared Amazon S3 bucket.
- E. Assign the same alias to each Lambda function
- F. Call each Lambda function by specifying the function's alias.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Lambda layers are a way to share code and dependencies across multiple Lambda functions. By packaging the custom Python scripts into Lambda layers, the data engineer can update the scripts in one place and have them automatically applied to all the Lambda functions that use the layer. This reduces the manual effort and ensures consistency across the Lambda functions. The other options are either not feasible or not efficient. Storing a pointer to the custom Python scripts in the execution context object or in environment variables would require the Lambda functions to download the scripts from Amazon S3 every time they are invoked, which would increase latency and cost. Assigning the same alias to each Lambda function would not help with updating the Python scripts, as the alias only points to a specific version of the Lambda function code. References:

? AWS Lambda layers

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 3: Data Ingestion and Transformation, Section 3.4: AWS Lambda

NEW QUESTION 3

A company uses AWS Step Functions to orchestrate a data pipeline. The pipeline consists of Amazon EMR jobs that ingest data from data sources and store the data in an Amazon S3 bucket. The pipeline also includes EMR jobs that load the data to Amazon Redshift.

The company's cloud infrastructure team manually built a Step Functions state machine. The cloud infrastructure team launched an EMR cluster into a VPC to support the EMR jobs. However, the deployed Step Functions state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs.

Which combination of steps should the company take to identify the reason the Step Functions state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs? (Choose two.)

- A. Use AWS CloudFormation to automate the Step Functions state machine deployment
- B. Create a step to pause the state machine during the EMR jobs that fail
- C. Configure the step to wait for a human user to send approval through an email message
- D. Include details of the EMR task in the email message for further analysis.
- E. Verify that the Step Functions state machine code has all IAM permissions that are necessary to create and run the EMR job
- F. Verify that the Step Functions state machine code also includes IAM permissions to access the Amazon S3 buckets that the EMR jobs use
- G. Use Access Analyzer for S3 to check the S3 access properties.
- H. Check for entries in Amazon CloudWatch for the newly created EMR cluster
- I. Change the AWS Step Functions state machine code to use Amazon EMR on EKS
- J. Change the IAM access policies and the security group configuration for the Step Functions state machine code to reflect inclusion of Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS).
- K. Query the flow logs for the VPC
- L. Determine whether the traffic that originates from the EMR cluster can successfully reach the data provider
- M. Determine whether any security group that might be attached to the Amazon EMR cluster allows connections to the data source servers on the informed ports.
- N. Check the retry scenarios that the company configured for the EMR job
- O. Increase the number of seconds in the interval between each EMR task
- P. Validate that each fallback state has the appropriate catch for each decision state
- Q. Configure an Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS) topic to store the error messages.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

To identify the reason why the Step Functions state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs, the company should take the following steps:

? Verify that the Step Functions state machine code has all IAM permissions that are necessary to create and run the EMR jobs. The state machine code should have an IAM role that allows it to invoke the EMR APIs, such as RunJobFlow, AddJobFlowSteps, and DescribeStep. The state machine code should also have IAM permissions to access the Amazon S3 buckets that the EMR jobs use as input and output locations. The company can use Access Analyzer for S3 to check

the access policies and permissions of the S3 buckets¹². Therefore, option B is correct.

? Query the flow logs for the VPC. The flow logs can provide information about the network traffic to and from the EMR cluster that is launched in the VPC. The company can use the flow logs to determine whether the traffic that originates from the EMR cluster can successfully reach the data providers, such as Amazon RDS, Amazon Redshift, or other external sources. The company can also determine whether any security group that might be attached to the EMR cluster allows connections to the data source servers on the informed ports. The company can use Amazon VPC Flow Logs or Amazon CloudWatch Logs Insights to query the flow logs³. Therefore, option D is correct.

Option A is incorrect because it suggests using AWS CloudFormation to automate the Step Functions state machine deployment. While this is a good practice to ensure consistency and repeatability of the deployment, it does not help to identify the reason why the state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs. Moreover, creating a step to pause the state machine during the EMR jobs that fail and wait for a human user to send approval through an email message is not a reliable way to troubleshoot the issue. The company should use the Step Functions console or API to monitor the execution history and status of the state machine, and use Amazon CloudWatch to view the logs and metrics of the EMR jobs. Option C is incorrect because it suggests changing the AWS Step Functions state machine code to use Amazon EMR on EKS. Amazon EMR on EKS is a service that allows you to run EMR jobs on Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS) clusters. While this service has some benefits, such as lower cost and faster execution time, it does not support all the features and integrations that EMR on EC2 does, such as EMR Notebooks, EMR Studio, and EMRFS. Therefore, changing the state machine code to use EMR on EKS may not be compatible with the existing data pipeline and may introduce new issues. Option E is incorrect because it suggests checking the retry scenarios that the company configured for the EMR jobs. While this is a good practice to handle transient failures and errors, it does not help to identify the root cause of why the state machine is not able to run the EMR jobs. Moreover, increasing the number of seconds in the interval between each EMR task may not improve the success rate of the jobs, and may increase the execution time and cost of the state machine. Configuring an Amazon SNS topic to store the error messages may help to notify the company of any failures, but it does not provide enough information to troubleshoot the issue.

References:

? 1: Manage an Amazon EMR Job - AWS Step Functions

? 2: Access Analyzer for S3 - Amazon Simple Storage Service

? 3: Working with Amazon EMR and VPC Flow Logs - Amazon EMR

? [4]: Analyzing VPC Flow Logs with Amazon CloudWatch Logs Insights - Amazon Virtual Private Cloud

? [5]: Monitor AWS Step Functions - AWS Step Functions

? [6]: Monitor Amazon EMR clusters - Amazon EMR

? [7]: Amazon EMR on Amazon EKS - Amazon EMR

NEW QUESTION 4

A company is planning to upgrade its Amazon Elastic Block Store (Amazon EBS) General Purpose SSD storage from gp2 to gp3. The company wants to prevent any interruptions in its Amazon EC2 instances that will cause data loss during the migration to the upgraded storage.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Create snapshots of the gp2 volume
- B. Create new gp3 volumes from the snapshot
- C. Attach the new gp3 volumes to the EC2 instances.
- D. Create new gp3 volume
- E. Gradually transfer the data to the new gp3 volume
- F. When the transfer is complete, mount the new gp3 volumes to the EC2 instances to replace the gp2 volumes.
- G. Change the volume type of the existing gp2 volumes to gp3. Enter new values for volume size, IOPS, and throughput.
- H. Use AWS DataSync to create new gp3 volume
- I. Transfer the data from the original gp2 volumes to the new gp3 volumes.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Changing the volume type of the existing gp2 volumes to gp3 is the easiest and fastest way to migrate to the new storage type without any downtime or data loss. You can use the AWS Management Console, the AWS CLI, or the Amazon EC2 API to modify the volume type, size, IOPS, and throughput of your gp2 volumes. The modification takes effect immediately, and you can monitor the progress of the modification using CloudWatch. The other options are either more complex or require additional steps, such as creating snapshots, transferring data, or attaching new volumes, which can increase the operational overhead and the risk of errors. References:

? Migrating Amazon EBS volumes from gp2 to gp3 and save up to 20% on costs (Section: How to migrate from gp2 to gp3)

? Switching from gp2 Volumes to gp3 Volumes to Lower AWS EBS Costs (Section: How to Switch from GP2 Volumes to GP3 Volumes)

? Modifying the volume type, IOPS, or size of an EBS volume - Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (Section: Modifying the volume type)

NEW QUESTION 5

A data engineer is building a data pipeline on AWS by using AWS Glue extract, transform, and load (ETL) jobs. The data engineer needs to process data from Amazon RDS and MongoDB, perform transformations, and load the transformed data into Amazon Redshift for analytics. The data updates must occur every hour. Which combination of tasks will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead? (Choose two.)

- A. Configure AWS Glue triggers to run the ETL jobs even/ hour.
- B. Use AWS Glue DataBrew to clean and prepare the data for analytics.
- C. Use AWS Lambda functions to schedule and run the ETL jobs even/ hour.
- D. Use AWS Glue connections to establish connectivity between the data sources and Amazon Redshift.
- E. Use the Redshift Data API to load transformed data into Amazon Redshift.

Answer: AD

Explanation:

The correct answer is to configure AWS Glue triggers to run the ETL jobs every hour and use AWS Glue connections to establish connectivity between the data sources and Amazon Redshift. AWS Glue triggers are a way to schedule and orchestrate ETL jobs with the least operational overhead. AWS Glue connections are a way to securely connect to data sources and targets using JDBC or MongoDB drivers. AWS Glue DataBrew is a visual data preparation tool that does not support MongoDB as a data source. AWS Lambda functions are a serverless option to schedule and run ETL jobs, but they have a limit of 15 minutes for execution time, which may not be enough for complex transformations. The Redshift Data API is a way to run SQL commands on Amazon Redshift clusters without needing a persistent connection, but it does not support loading data from AWS Glue ETL jobs. References:

? AWS Glue triggers

? AWS Glue connections

? AWS Glue DataBrew

? [AWS Lambda functions]

? [Redshift Data API]

NEW QUESTION 6

A company needs to set up a data catalog and metadata management for data sources that run in the AWS Cloud. The company will use the data catalog to maintain the metadata of all the objects that are in a set of data stores. The data stores include structured sources such as Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift. The data stores also include semistructured sources such as JSON files and .xml files that are stored in Amazon S3. The company needs a solution that will update the data catalog on a regular basis. The solution also must detect changes to the source metadata. Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use Amazon Aurora as the data catalog
- B. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog
- C. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the Aurora data catalog
- D. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically.
- E. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository
- F. Use AWS Glue crawlers to connect to multiple data stores and to update the Data Catalog with metadata change
- G. Schedule the crawlers to run periodically to update the metadata catalog.
- H. Use Amazon DynamoDB as the data catalog
- I. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog
- J. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the DynamoDB data catalog
- K. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically.
- L. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository
- M. Extract the schema for Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift sources, and build the Data Catalog
- N. Use AWS Glue crawlers for data that is in Amazon S3 to infer the schema and to automatically update the Data Catalog.

Answer: B

Explanation:

This solution will meet the requirements with the least operational overhead because it uses the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository for data sources that run in the AWS Cloud. The AWS Glue Data Catalog is a fully managed service that provides a unified view of your data assets across AWS and on-premises data sources. It stores the metadata of your data in tables, partitions, and columns, and enables you to access and query your data using various AWS services, such as Amazon Athena, Amazon EMR, and Amazon Redshift Spectrum. You can use AWS Glue crawlers to connect to multiple data stores, such as Amazon RDS, Amazon Redshift, and Amazon S3, and to update the Data Catalog with metadata changes. AWS Glue crawlers can automatically discover the schema and partition structure of your data, and create or update the corresponding tables in the Data Catalog. You can schedule the crawlers to run periodically to update the metadata catalog, and configure them to detect changes to the source metadata, such as new columns, tables, or partitions¹².

The other options are not optimal for the following reasons:

? A. Use Amazon Aurora as the data catalog. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the Aurora data catalog. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically. This option is not recommended, as it would require more operational overhead to create and manage an Amazon Aurora database as the data catalog, and to write and maintain AWS Lambda functions to gather and update the metadata information from multiple sources. Moreover, this option would not leverage the benefits of the AWS Glue Data Catalog, such as data cataloging, data transformation, and data governance.

? C. Use Amazon DynamoDB as the data catalog. Create AWS Lambda functions that will connect to the data catalog. Configure the Lambda functions to gather the metadata information from multiple sources and to update the DynamoDB data catalog. Schedule the Lambda functions to run periodically. This option is also not recommended, as it would require more operational overhead to create and manage an Amazon DynamoDB table as the data catalog, and to write and maintain AWS Lambda functions to gather and update the metadata information from multiple sources. Moreover, this option would not leverage the benefits of the AWS Glue Data Catalog, such as data cataloging, data transformation, and data governance.

? D. Use the AWS Glue Data Catalog as the central metadata repository. Extract the schema for Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift sources, and build the Data Catalog. Use AWS Glue crawlers for data that is in Amazon S3 to infer the schema and to automatically update the Data Catalog. This option is not optimal, as it would require more manual effort to extract the schema for Amazon RDS and Amazon Redshift sources, and to build the Data Catalog. This option would not take advantage of the AWS Glue crawlers' ability to automatically discover the schema and partition structure of your data from various data sources, and to create or update the corresponding tables in the Data Catalog.

References:

? 1: AWS Glue Data Catalog

? 2: AWS Glue Crawlers

? : Amazon Aurora

? : AWS Lambda

? : Amazon DynamoDB

NEW QUESTION 7

A company uses Amazon Athena to run SQL queries for extract, transform, and load (ETL) tasks by using Create Table As Select (CTAS). The company must use Apache Spark instead of SQL to generate analytics.

Which solution will give the company the ability to use Spark to access Athena?

- A. Athena query settings
- B. Athena workgroup
- C. Athena data source
- D. Athena query editor

Answer: C

Explanation:

Athena data source is a solution that allows you to use Spark to access Athena by using the Athena JDBC driver and the Spark SQL interface. You can use the Athena data source to create Spark DataFrames from Athena tables, run SQL queries on the DataFrames, and write the results back to Athena. The Athena data source supports various data formats, such as CSV, JSON, ORC, and Parquet, and also supports partitioned and bucketed tables. The Athena data source is a cost-effective and scalable way to use Spark to access Athena, as it does not require any additional infrastructure or services, and you only pay for the data scanned by Athena.

The other options are not solutions that give the company the ability to use Spark to access Athena. Option A, Athena query settings, is a feature that allows you to configure various parameters for your Athena queries, such as the output location, the encryption settings, the query timeout, and the workgroup. Option B, Athena workgroup, is a feature that allows you to isolate and manage your Athena queries and resources, such as the query history, the query notifications, the query concurrency, and the query cost. Option D, Athena query editor, is a feature that allows you to write and run SQL queries on Athena using the web console or the API. None of these options enable you to use Spark instead of SQL to generate analytics on Athena. References:

? Using Apache Spark in Amazon Athena

? Athena JDBC Driver

? Spark SQL

? Athena query settings
? [Athena workgroups]
? [Athena query editor]

NEW QUESTION 8

A data engineer must orchestrate a data pipeline that consists of one AWS Lambda function and one AWS Glue job. The solution must integrate with AWS services.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST management overhead?

- A. Use an AWS Step Functions workflow that includes a state machine
- B. Configure the state machine to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job.
- C. Use an Apache Airflow workflow that is deployed on an Amazon EC2 instance
- D. Define a directed acyclic graph (DAG) in which the first task is to call the Lambda function and the second task is to call the AWS Glue job.
- E. Use an AWS Glue workflow to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job.
- F. Use an Apache Airflow workflow that is deployed on Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS). Define a directed acyclic graph (DAG) in which the first task is to call the Lambda function and the second task is to call the AWS Glue job.

Answer: A

Explanation:

AWS Step Functions is a service that allows you to coordinate multiple AWS services into serverless workflows. You can use Step Functions to create state machines that define the sequence and logic of the tasks in your workflow. Step Functions supports various types of tasks, such as Lambda functions, AWS Glue jobs, Amazon EMR clusters, Amazon ECS tasks, etc. You can use Step Functions to monitor and troubleshoot your workflows, as well as to handle errors and retries.

Using an AWS Step Functions workflow that includes a state machine to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job will meet the requirements with the least management overhead, as it leverages the serverless and managed capabilities of Step Functions. You do not need to write any code to orchestrate the tasks in your workflow, as you can use the Step Functions console or the AWS Serverless Application Model (AWS SAM) to define and deploy your state machine. You also do not need to provision or manage any servers or clusters, as Step Functions scales automatically based on the demand.

The other options are not as efficient as using an AWS Step Functions workflow. Using an Apache Airflow workflow that is deployed on an Amazon EC2 instance or on Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (Amazon EKS) will require more management overhead, as you will need to provision, configure, and maintain the EC2 instance or the EKS cluster, as well as the Airflow components. You will also need to write and maintain the Airflow DAGs to orchestrate the tasks in your workflow. Using an AWS Glue workflow to run the Lambda function and then the AWS Glue job will not work, as AWS Glue workflows only support AWS Glue jobs and crawlers as tasks, not Lambda functions. References:

? AWS Step Functions

? AWS Glue

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 6: Data Integration and Transformation, Section 6.3: AWS Step Functions

NEW QUESTION 9

During a security review, a company identified a vulnerability in an AWS Glue job. The company discovered that credentials to access an Amazon Redshift cluster were hard coded in the job script.

A data engineer must remediate the security vulnerability in the AWS Glue job. The solution must securely store the credentials.

Which combination of steps should the data engineer take to meet these requirements? (Choose two.)

- A. Store the credentials in the AWS Glue job parameters.
- B. Store the credentials in a configuration file that is in an Amazon S3 bucket.
- C. Access the credentials from a configuration file that is in an Amazon S3 bucket by using the AWS Glue job.
- D. Store the credentials in AWS Secrets Manager.
- E. Grant the AWS Glue job 1AM role access to the stored credentials.

Answer: DE

Explanation:

AWS Secrets Manager is a service that allows you to securely store and manage secrets, such as database credentials, API keys, passwords, etc. You can use Secrets Manager to encrypt, rotate, and audit your secrets, as well as to control access to them using fine-grained policies. AWS Glue is a fully managed service that provides a serverless data integration platform for data preparation, data cataloging, and data loading. AWS Glue jobs allow you to transform and load data from various sources into various targets, using either a graphical interface (AWS Glue Studio) or a code-based interface (AWS Glue console or AWS Glue API). Storing the credentials in AWS Secrets Manager and granting the AWS Glue job 1AM role access to the stored credentials will meet the requirements, as it will remediate the security vulnerability in the AWS Glue job and securely store the credentials. By using AWS Secrets Manager, you can avoid hard coding the credentials in the job script, which is a bad practice that exposes the credentials to unauthorized access or leakage. Instead, you can store the credentials as a secret in Secrets Manager and reference the secret name or ARN in the job script. You can also use Secrets Manager to encrypt the credentials using AWS Key Management Service (AWS KMS), rotate the credentials automatically or on demand, and monitor the access to the credentials using AWS CloudTrail. By granting the AWS Glue job 1AM role access to the stored credentials, you can use the principle of least privilege to ensure that only the AWS Glue job can retrieve the credentials from Secrets Manager. You can also use resource-based or tag-based policies to further restrict the access to the credentials.

The other options are not as secure as storing the credentials in AWS Secrets Manager and granting the AWS Glue job 1AM role access to the stored credentials. Storing the credentials in the AWS Glue job parameters will not remediate the security vulnerability, as the job parameters are still visible in the AWS Glue console and API. Storing the credentials in a configuration file that is in an Amazon S3 bucket and accessing the credentials from the configuration file by using the AWS Glue job will not be as secure as using Secrets Manager, as the configuration file may not be encrypted or rotated, and the access to the file may not be audited or controlled. References:

? AWS Secrets Manager

? AWS Glue

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 6: Data Integration and Transformation, Section 6.1: AWS Glue

NEW QUESTION 10

A financial company wants to implement a data mesh. The data mesh must support centralized data governance, data analysis, and data access control. The company has decided to use AWS Glue for data catalogs and extract, transform, and load (ETL) operations.

Which combination of AWS services will implement a data mesh? (Choose two.)

- A. Use Amazon Aurora for data storage
- B. Use an Amazon Redshift provisioned cluster for data analysis.
- C. Use Amazon S3 for data storage

- D. Use Amazon Athena for data analysis.
- E. Use AWS Glue DataBrew for centralized data governance and access control.
- F. Use Amazon RDS for data storage.
- G. Use Amazon EMR for data analysis.
- H. Use AWS Lake Formation for centralized data governance and access control.

Answer: BE

Explanation:

A data mesh is an architectural framework that organizes data into domains and treats data as products that are owned and offered for consumption by different teams¹. A data mesh requires a centralized layer for data governance and access control, as well as a distributed layer for data storage and analysis. AWS Glue can provide data catalogs and ETL operations for the data mesh, but it cannot provide data governance and access control by itself². Therefore, the company needs to use another AWS service for this purpose. AWS Lake Formation is a service that allows you to create, secure, and manage data lakes on AWS³. It integrates with AWS Glue and other AWS services to provide centralized data governance and access control for the data mesh. Therefore, option E is correct. For data storage and analysis, the company can choose from different AWS services depending on their needs and preferences. However, one of the benefits of a data mesh is that it enables data to be stored and processed in a decoupled and scalable way¹. Therefore, using serverless or managed services that can handle large volumes and varieties of data is preferable. Amazon S3 is a highly scalable, durable, and secure object storage service that can store any type of data. Amazon Athena is a serverless interactive query service that can analyze data in Amazon S3 using standard SQL. Therefore, option B is a good choice for data storage and analysis in a data mesh. Option A, C, and D are not optimal because they either use relational databases that are not suitable for storing diverse and unstructured data, or they require more management and provisioning than serverless services. References:

? 1: What is a Data Mesh? - Data Mesh Architecture Explained - AWS

? 2: AWS Glue - Developer Guide

? 3: AWS Lake Formation - Features

? [4]: Design a data mesh architecture using AWS Lake Formation and AWS Glue

? [5]: Amazon S3 - Features

? [6]: Amazon Athena - Features

NEW QUESTION 10

A company is migrating on-premises workloads to AWS. The company wants to reduce overall operational overhead. The company also wants to explore serverless options.

The company's current workloads use Apache Pig, Apache Oozie, Apache Spark, Apache Hbase, and Apache Flink. The on-premises workloads process petabytes of data in seconds. The company must maintain similar or better performance after the migration to AWS.

Which extract, transform, and load (ETL) service will meet these requirements?

- A. AWS Glue
- B. Amazon EMR
- C. AWS Lambda
- D. Amazon Redshift

Answer: A

Explanation:

AWS Glue is a fully managed serverless ETL service that can handle petabytes of data in seconds. AWS Glue can run Apache Spark and Apache Flink jobs without requiring any infrastructure provisioning or management. AWS Glue can also integrate with Apache Pig, Apache Oozie, and Apache Hbase using AWS Glue Data Catalog and AWS Glue workflows. AWS Glue can reduce the overall operational overhead by automating the data discovery, data preparation, and data loading processes. AWS Glue can also optimize the cost and performance of ETL jobs by using AWS Glue Job Bookmarking, AWS Glue Crawlers, and AWS Glue Schema Registry. References:

? AWS Glue

? AWS Glue Data Catalog

? AWS Glue Workflows

? [AWS Glue Job Bookmarking]

? [AWS Glue Crawlers]

? [AWS Glue Schema Registry]

? [AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide]

NEW QUESTION 12

A financial company wants to use Amazon Athena to run on-demand SQL queries on a petabyte-scale dataset to support a business intelligence (BI) application. An AWS Glue job that runs during non-business hours updates the dataset once every day. The BI application has a standard data refresh frequency of 1 hour to comply with company policies.

A data engineer wants to cost optimize the company's use of Amazon Athena without adding any additional infrastructure costs.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Configure an Amazon S3 Lifecycle policy to move data to the S3 Glacier Deep Archive storage class after 1 day
- B. Use the query result reuse feature of Amazon Athena for the SQL queries.
- C. Add an Amazon ElastiCache cluster between the BI application and Athena.
- D. Change the format of the files that are in the dataset to Apache Parquet.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The best solution to cost optimize the company's use of Amazon Athena without adding any additional infrastructure costs is to use the query result reuse feature of Amazon Athena for the SQL queries. This feature allows you to run the same query multiple times without incurring additional charges, as long as the underlying data has not changed and the query results are still in the query result location in Amazon S3¹. This feature is useful for scenarios where you have a petabyte-scale dataset that is updated infrequently, such as once a day, and you have a BI application that runs the same queries repeatedly, such as every hour. By using the query result reuse feature, you can reduce the amount of data scanned by your queries and save on the cost of running Athena. You can enable or disable this feature at the workgroup level or at the individual query level¹.

Option A is not the best solution, as configuring an Amazon S3 Lifecycle policy to move data to the S3 Glacier Deep Archive storage class after 1 day would not cost optimize the company's use of Amazon Athena, but rather increase the cost and complexity. Amazon S3 Lifecycle policies are rules that you can define to automatically transition objects between different storage classes based on specified criteria, such as the age of the object². S3 Glacier Deep Archive is the lowest-cost storage class in Amazon S3, designed

for long-term data archiving that is accessed once or twice in a year³. While moving data to S3 Glacier Deep Archive can reduce the storage cost, it would also

increase the retrieval cost and latency, as it takes up to 12 hours to restore the data from S3 Glacier Deep Archive³. Moreover, Athena does not support querying data that is in S3 Glacier or S3 Glacier Deep Archive storage classes⁴. Therefore, using this option would not meet the requirements of running on-demand SQL queries on the dataset.

Option C is not the best solution, as adding an Amazon ElastiCache cluster between the BI application and Athena would not cost optimize the company's use of Amazon Athena, but rather increase the cost and complexity. Amazon ElastiCache is a service that offers fully managed in-memory data stores, such as Redis and Memcached, that can improve the performance and scalability of web applications by caching frequently accessed data. While using ElastiCache can reduce the latency and load on the BI application, it would not reduce the amount of data scanned by Athena, which is the main factor that determines the cost of running Athena. Moreover, using ElastiCache would introduce additional infrastructure costs and operational overhead, as you would have to provision, manage, and scale the ElastiCache cluster, and integrate it with the BI application and Athena. Option D is not the best solution, as changing the format of the files that are in the dataset to Apache Parquet would not cost optimize the company's use of Amazon Athena without adding any additional infrastructure costs, but rather increase the complexity. Apache Parquet is a columnar storage format that can improve the performance of analytical queries by reducing the amount of data that needs to be scanned and providing efficient compression and encoding schemes. However, changing the format of the files that are in the dataset to Apache Parquet would require additional processing and transformation steps, such as using AWS Glue or Amazon EMR to convert the files from their original format to Parquet, and storing the converted files in a separate location in Amazon S3. This would increase the complexity and the operational overhead of the data pipeline, and also incur additional costs for using AWS Glue or Amazon EMR. References:

- ? Query result reuse
- ? Amazon S3 Lifecycle
- ? S3 Glacier Deep Archive
- ? Storage classes supported by Athena
- ? [What is Amazon ElastiCache?]
- ? [Amazon Athena pricing]
- ? [Columnar Storage Formats]
- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 15

A company needs to build a data lake in AWS. The company must provide row-level data access and column-level data access to specific teams. The teams will access the data by using Amazon Athena, Amazon Redshift Spectrum, and Apache Hive from Amazon EMR.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Use Amazon S3 for data lake storag
- B. Use S3 access policies to restrict data access by rows and column
- C. Provide data access through Amazon S3.
- D. Use Amazon S3 for data lake storag
- E. Use Apache Ranger through Amazon EMR to restrict data access by rows and column
- F. Provide data access by using Apache Pig.
- G. Use Amazon Redshift for data lake storag
- H. Use Redshift security policies to restrict data access by rows and column
- I. Provide data access by using Apache Spark and Amazon Athena federated queries.
- J. Use Amazon S3 for data lake storag
- K. Use AWS Lake Formation to restrict data access by rows and column
- L. Provide data access through AWS Lake Formation.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Option D is the best solution to meet the requirements with the least operational overhead because AWS Lake Formation is a fully managed service that simplifies the process of building, securing, and managing data lakes. AWS Lake Formation allows you to define granular data access policies at the row and column level for different users and groups. AWS Lake Formation also integrates with Amazon Athena, Amazon Redshift Spectrum, and Apache Hive on Amazon EMR, enabling these services to access the data in the data lake through AWS Lake Formation.

Option A is not a good solution because S3 access policies cannot restrict data access by rows and columns. S3 access policies are based on the identity and permissions of the requester, the bucket and object ownership, and the object prefix and tags. S3 access policies cannot enforce fine-grained data access control at the row and column level. Option B is not a good solution because it involves using Apache Ranger and Apache Pig, which are not fully managed services and require additional configuration and maintenance. Apache Ranger is a framework that provides centralized security administration for data stored in Hadoop clusters, such as Amazon EMR. Apache Ranger can enforce row-level and column-level access policies for Apache Hive tables. However, Apache Ranger is not a native AWS service and requires manual installation and configuration on Amazon EMR clusters. Apache Pig is a platform that allows you to analyze large data sets using a high-level scripting language called Pig Latin. Apache Pig can access data stored in Amazon S3 and process it using Apache Hive. However, Apache Pig is not a native AWS service and requires manual installation and configuration on Amazon EMR clusters.

Option C is not a good solution because Amazon Redshift is not a suitable service for data lake storage. Amazon Redshift is a fully managed data warehouse service that allows you to run complex analytical queries using standard SQL. Amazon Redshift can enforce row-level and column-level access policies for different users and groups. However, Amazon Redshift is not designed to store and process large volumes of unstructured or semi-structured data, which are typical characteristics of data lakes. Amazon Redshift is also more expensive and less scalable than Amazon S3 for data lake storage.

References:

- ? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide
- ? What Is AWS Lake Formation? - AWS Lake Formation
- ? Using AWS Lake Formation with Amazon Athena - AWS Lake Formation
- ? Using AWS Lake Formation with Amazon Redshift Spectrum - AWS Lake Formation
- ? Using AWS Lake Formation with Apache Hive on Amazon EMR - AWS Lake Formation
- ? Using Bucket Policies and User Policies - Amazon Simple Storage Service
- ? Apache Ranger
- ? Apache Pig
- ? What Is Amazon Redshift? - Amazon Redshift

NEW QUESTION 16

A company is migrating a legacy application to an Amazon S3 based data lake. A data engineer reviewed data that is associated with the legacy application. The data engineer found that the legacy data contained some duplicate information.

The data engineer must identify and remove duplicate information from the legacy application data.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST operational overhead?

- A. Write a custom extract, transform, and load (ETL) job in Python
- B. Use the `DataFrame.drop_duplicates()` function by importing the Pandas library to perform data deduplication.
- C. Write an AWS Glue extract, transform, and load (ETL) job

- D. Use the FindMatches machine learning (ML) transform to transform the data to perform data deduplication.
- E. Write a custom extract, transform, and load (ETL) job in Python.
- F. Import the Python dedupe library.
- G. Use the dedupe library to perform data deduplication.
- H. Write an AWS Glue extract, transform, and load (ETL) job.
- I. Import the Python dedupe library.
- J. Use the dedupe library to perform data deduplication.

Answer: B

Explanation:

AWS Glue is a fully managed serverless ETL service that can handle data deduplication with minimal operational overhead. AWS Glue provides a built-in ML transform called FindMatches, which can automatically identify and group similar records in a dataset. FindMatches can also generate a primary key for each group of records and remove duplicates. FindMatches does not require any coding or prior ML experience, as it can learn from a sample of labeled data provided by the user. FindMatches can also scale to handle large datasets and optimize the cost and performance of the ETL job. References:

? AWS Glue

? FindMatches ML Transform

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide

NEW QUESTION 17

A company stores details about transactions in an Amazon S3 bucket. The company wants to log all writes to the S3 bucket into another S3 bucket that is in the same AWS Region.

Which solution will meet this requirement with the LEAST operational effort?

- A. Configure an S3 Event Notifications rule for all activities on the transactions S3 bucket to invoke an AWS Lambda function.
- B. Program the Lambda function to write the event to Amazon Kinesis Data Firehose.
- C. Configure Kinesis Data Firehose to write the event to the logs S3 bucket.
- D. Create a trail of management events in AWS CloudTrail.
- E. Configure the trail to receive data from the transactions S3 bucket.
- F. Specify an empty prefix and write-only event.
- G. Specify the logs S3 bucket as the destination bucket.
- H. Configure an S3 Event Notifications rule for all activities on the transactions S3 bucket to invoke an AWS Lambda function.
- I. Program the Lambda function to write the events to the logs S3 bucket.
- J. Create a trail of data events in AWS CloudTrail.
- K. Configure the trail to receive data from the transactions S3 bucket.
- L. Specify an empty prefix and write-only event.
- M. Specify the logs S3 bucket as the destination bucket.

Answer: D

Explanation:

This solution meets the requirement of logging all writes to the S3 bucket into another S3 bucket with the least operational effort. AWS CloudTrail is a service that records the API calls made to AWS services, including Amazon S3. By creating a trail of data events, you can capture the details of the requests that are made to the transactions S3 bucket, such as the requester, the time, the IP address, and the response elements. By specifying an empty prefix and write-only events, you can filter the data events to only include the ones that write to the bucket. By specifying the logs S3 bucket as the destination bucket, you can store the CloudTrail logs in another S3 bucket that is in the same AWS Region. This solution does not require any additional coding or configuration, and it is more scalable and reliable than using S3 Event Notifications and Lambda functions. References:

? Logging Amazon S3 API calls using AWS CloudTrail

? Creating a trail for data events

? Enabling Amazon S3 server access logging

NEW QUESTION 18

A company stores data in a data lake that is in Amazon S3. Some data that the company stores in the data lake contains personally identifiable information (PII). Multiple user groups need to access the raw data. The company must ensure that user groups can access only the PII that they require.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST effort?

- A. Use Amazon Athena to query the data.
- B. Set up AWS Lake Formation and create data filters to establish levels of access for the company's IAM role.
- C. Assign each user to the IAM role that matches the user's PII access requirements.
- D. Use Amazon QuickSight to access the data.
- E. Use column-level security features in QuickSight to limit the PII that users can retrieve from Amazon S3 by using Amazon Athena.
- F. Define QuickSight access levels based on the PII access requirements of the users.
- G. Build a custom query builder UI that will run Athena queries in the background to access the data.
- H. Create user groups in Amazon Cognito.
- I. Assign access levels to the user groups based on the PII access requirements of the users.
- J. Create IAM roles that have different levels of granular access.
- K. Assign the IAM roles to IAM user group.
- L. Use an identity-based policy to assign access levels to user groups at the column level.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Amazon Athena is a serverless, interactive query service that enables you to analyze data in Amazon S3 using standard SQL. AWS Lake Formation is a service that helps you build, secure, and manage data lakes on AWS. You can use AWS Lake Formation to create data filters that define the level of access for different IAM roles based on the columns, rows, or tags of the data. By using Amazon Athena to query the data and AWS Lake Formation to create data filters, the company can meet the requirements of ensuring that user groups can access only the PII that they require with the least effort. The solution is to use Amazon Athena to query the data in the data lake that is in Amazon S3. Then, set up AWS Lake Formation and create data filters to establish levels of access for the company's IAM roles. For example, a data filter can allow a user group to access only the columns that contain the PII that they need, such as name and email address, and deny access to the columns that contain the PII that they do not need, such as phone number and social security number. Finally, assign each user to the IAM role that matches the user's PII access requirements. This way, the user groups can access the data in the data lake securely and efficiently. The other options are either not feasible or not optimal. Using Amazon QuickSight to access the data (option B) would require the company to pay for the QuickSight service.

and to configure the column-level security features for each user. Building a custom query builder UI that will run Athena queries in the background to access the data (option C) would require the company to develop and maintain the UI and to integrate it with Amazon Cognito. Creating IAM roles that have different levels of granular access (option D) would require the company to manage multiple IAM roles and policies and to ensure that they are aligned with the data schema.

References:

? Amazon Athena

? AWS Lake Formation

? AWS Certified Data Engineer - Associate DEA-C01 Complete Study Guide, Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Visualization, Section 4.3: Amazon Athena

NEW QUESTION 21

.....

THANKS FOR TRYING THE DEMO OF OUR PRODUCT

Visit Our Site to Purchase the Full Set of Actual AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Exam Questions With Answers.

We Also Provide Practice Exam Software That Simulates Real Exam Environment And Has Many Self-Assessment Features. Order the AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Product From:

<https://www.2passeasy.com/dumps/AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate/>

Money Back Guarantee

AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Exam Features:

- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Questions and Answers Updated Frequently
- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Questions Verified by Expert Senior Certified Staff
- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Most Realistic Questions that Guarantee you a Pass on Your FirstTry
- * AWS-Certified-Data-Engineer-Associate Practice Test Questions in Multiple Choice Formats and Updatesfor 1 Year